

A NEW AMERICAN UNIVERSITY



Observations January 26-28, 2004 www.asu.edu/cdp

WHY DO WE NEED A MASTER PLAN?

"In the press of meeting current needs, many Universities lack a strong vision which looks over the horizon. Unlike corporations, great universities can never move their corporate headquarters. Therefore, in planning a campus, one must see individual decisions in the context of decades, not years. Within this profound lesson is an idea that no one building is more important than the campus as a whole."

Dean W. Currie – VP for Finance - Rice University



WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES A CAMPUS PLAN MAKE?

- To plan for growth such that every dollar spent improving the physical campus supports ASU's mission
- So that our daily decisions are part of a optimistic long term vision
- To raise our aspirations
- To raise money











Observations





Principles & Concept

Final Plan

Design Guidelines

Precinct

Observations







Principles & Concept

















Design Guidelines

Precinct

Observations







Principles & Concept













Precincts



Final Plan

DRAFT

Design Guidelines

Observations







Design Guidelines







Principles & Concept











Precincts



Final Plan

Observations

Final Plan

172.4



15 mm I IC





Design Guidelines







Principles & Concept









Precincts







one university many places

June-December 2003 Observations

September 2003 Vision White Paper

January 2004 Planning Principles and Concept Development

January-June 2004 District Workshops

July-Aug 2004 Final Plan and Guidelines Development

Sept - Oct 2004 Draft Final Plan Public Forums

Fall 2004 Arizona Board of Regents Presentation

ASU MAIN CAMPUS





one university many places

PROPERTY LINES

Ν







YR 2004 PLANNED PROJECTS

Planned ProjectsFuture ProjectsUnder ConstructionOther Planned ProjectsAZ BioFoundation and Garage

Foundation and Garage Arts and Business South Campus USB Garage Co-Gen Plant Olympic Center North Parking Garage Life Sciences Building



LANDSCAPE

Original Core Campus (Primarily Flood Irrigated)

Remaining Campus Core (Conventional Irrigation)

Turf (Ornamental)

Ν

B

ft I

B

8

2

5

Turf (Recreational)

Desert Transitional Areas

CAMPUS APPROACH TO TRANSITIONAL SPACE

Gammage Parkway

- One Way Traffic with Parking
- Palm Tree Street Theme

College Avenue North of Campus

- 'A Mountain'
- Tempe Street Tree Theme (Live Oaks)

Terrace Road West of Rural - Future Arizona Bio-Center and Parking Garage

Lemon Street West of Rural - Parking Garage with Palo Brea Street Tree

McAllister Avenue - Two Way Traffic - Bicycle Lane

Student Cross-Walk at McAllister

VIEWS AND VISTAS

CAMPUS WALKS

Ν

CAMPUS WALKS

Shade Sightlines Consistency

COURTYARDS AND PLAZAS

Courtyard

Plazas

Water Feature

Sculptural Art Piece

PLAZAS

Shade Seating Water Scale

GATEWAYS

RECOGNIZABLE PLACES

Strong Edges and Centers Unique Identity Shade and Water

LESS RECOGNIZABLE PLACES

CAMPUS ART

${\tt LANDSCAPE} \ \ ZONES$

Lawn

Desert Transitional Space

Cady Fountain at Campus Core

Student Recreational Area

Memorial Union Plaza

ASU, Karsten Golf Course

GATEWAYS AND THRESHOLDS

Secondary Vehicular Threshold

Primary Vehicular Threshold

Pedestrian Threshold

Primary Vehicular Threshold

Pedestrian Bridge, Crosswalk over University

LANDSCAPE ELEMENTS

Nelson Fine Arts Plaza

Open Space,

Hayden Library

Dixie Gammage Hall Courtyard (Secret Garden) Cady Mall Fountain

Business School Student Plaza Sculptural Art Pieces

UNIVERSITY DISTRICTS

NEIGHBORHOODS

a1 Desert Garden District
Rio Salado District
Riverside District
C Tempe District
d Sun Devil District
e Karsten Green District
f Mitchell Park West
9 Mitchell Park East
h Maple Ash District
Artspace District
J Science Learning
k University Heights
Alegre Community
m Escalante District
University Park
Sun Set District
Jen Tilly Terrace
Hudson Manor District
University Estates
S Daley Park District

BUILDING USE

Neighborhood Issues

Campus Edge

Neighborhood Edge

•Don't grow into residential neighborhoods •Keep parking & traffic out of neighborhoods •Train students to be good citizens •Reintroduce community retail such as a grocery and convenience stores •Don't build blank wall buildings along campus edge Don't locate garages adjacent to neighborhoods

EDGE CONDITIONS

Wide Streets Inconsistent Landscape Lack Hierarchy Buildings as Barrier

Academic Housing Support Athletic/Recreation

land USE

Service

EXISTING PARKING STUDY

Surface Parking

Parking Structures

Parking Structures under construction

Total existing spaces: 20,319

Surface parking: 12,787 (almost 6,000 in perimeter Lot 59, including 1,500 temporary spaces)

400 permanent spaces added over last decade

Structured Spaces: 7,344

Parking Structures Under Construction: 7A on Lot 59N 1,500 ASU Foundation 1,200 (net 1,000 for ASU use)

EXISTING PARKING STUDY

Surface Parking

Parking Structures

Parking Structures under construction

Planned Parking Structures

•Planned Parking Structures

•7B on Lot 59N: 1,500 (Net 900)

•University Services Building: Net 400

•Arts and Business Center: 1,600 (Net 0 for ASU use)

EXISTING PARKING STUDY

Land Used by Surface Parking

95.4 Acres

FUTURE PARKING NEEDS

- 2 new parking structures under construction will avoid shortfall (even when 1,500 temporary spaces lost)
- Approximately 4,000 spaces may be lost at build-out
- Additional demand, primarily for residential students at build-out, is 3,500 spaces if current ratios are maintained
- Even with additional planned parking structures (1,900 spaces), would need 4,400 more spaces
- Overall, need to build approximately 6,000 plus net new spaces if current ratios are maintained

PARKING ALLOCATION

- 18,340 parking spaces are reserved or permitted with decals
- Everyone is eligible for a decal (sell approximately 32,000 decals per year)
- Allocation of decals:
 - Employee: 22%
 - Commuter Student: 67%
 - 11% – Resident Student:
- Ratio of Parking Spaces to Persons:
 - 1 per 1.6 faculty and staff - Employee:
 - Commuter Student: 1 per 4.3 commuter students
 - Resident Student: 1 per 2.2 resident students

- 1,350 visitor/meter spaces (down significantly)

PARKING NEED

Parking Need under Current Ratios

- 20,300 existing
- +2,500 net under construction
- +1,300 net planned
- +4,400 net need for more resident students, fac/staff, etc.
- -1,500 temporary spaces lost

27,000 total gross need

Need to build 5,000 - 6,000 net new spaces

THE COST OF PARKING

- Most new parking must be in structures
- Capital cost per space:
 - Aboveground \$12,000Underground \$20,000
- Total capital cost for 6,000 spaces:
 \$90 million
- Total annualized cost:
 - \$7.2 million
 - \$1,200 per new space
- Decal rates would have to more than double to cover cost of continuing to satisfy parking needs on campus

ADDITIONAL "COSTS" OF PARKING

- Increased traffic
- Traffic and pedestrian/bike conflicts
- Increased congestion local and regional
- Degradation of air quality
- Loss of building sites (opportunity cost)
- Impact on community

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION

- Maricopa County population projected to double over next 30 years
- Despite \$15.8 billion investment in transportation improvements, congestion will worsen
- 8-hour ozone standards violated
- Trip reduction program
 mandated by state

Creating a Transit Accessible, Park-Once Campus

As we grow...

- 1. We lose surface lots to new facilities
- 2. While physical growth increases parking demand
- \$3,000 to build a surface parking space, \$11,000/space to build a structured above ground parking garage, \$25,000 +/space to build below ground parking
- 4. Existing road network and capacity limits the amount of new parking
- 5. The result is we must invest in alternatives

Creating a Transit Accessible, Park-Once Campus

- Transit Incentives (regional and local)
- Park-and-ride
- Bicycles
- Ridesharing
- Telecommuting
- Housing
- Remote resident parking

- Cheaper to fund alternatives than build parking (Stanford added 2 mill SF without more traffic by paying employees not to drive and improving transit and bikes)
- Cornell decreased cars on campus by 26% in 1 year
- Sale of SOV permits dropped by 22% at U of Washington
- Many examples of reduced parking needs and traffic through bike and transit improvements:
 - U of California-Davis, U of Colorado-Boulder
 - U of Michigan, U of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
 - Penn State, U of Oregon
 - UNC-Chapel Hill

LRT will greatly enhance campus accessibility along with other planned transportation improvements

Reduced parking becomes realistic

AN EST B ROAD 10.00 FEFFERE 1 See Ses Route hast al PACIE 7 SOLOUND SECADWO SCILL SOLDER WHEE TXW/RHBAI BASELINE SOLD 621 H. DI KIND WARREN RIVE

local BUS

- Extensive local bus service with planned service improvements
- Transit pass costs more than Access A & B decals <u>No incentive</u> to use transit
- Introduce Transit fee for unlimited transit pass?

- Carries up to 140,000 riders per month (typically 100,000+)
- Scheduled to run every 10 minutes in each direction
- Service slowed by traffic and lights
- Neighborhood FLASH serves Tempe community
- Should it penetrate campus more?
- What other locations should be served?

BICYCLES

- High level of bicycle usage:
 - 15,000 bicycle trips per day
 - 11,800 bicycle spaces
- Bicycle lanes and shared use paths

BICYCLES

 University and the City committed to improving bicycle network

CITY OF TEMPE EXISTING BICYCLES ROUTES

CITY OF TEMPE PROPOSED BICYCLES ROUTES

roads

${\sf pedestrian}\ MALLS$

Key Issues

- Conflicts between
 multiple users
- Major concern to
 handicapped persons

Ν 291 2002 6.0 12 1 日田一町 ころの 日] 멉 20 П (Pi R . ------101 L 112 ÷ Ľ, 12 5 10 idhu. 1.8 1.00 UE ł. G 1000 Б 205,11,200 12 page 1 2 2 2 2 2

虚

12.1

nul

or an address of treasure

1

aπ

I-tra

1

-

100

11

CIRCULATION PEDESTRIAN

Pedestrian Circulation

CIRCULATION

Vehicular Circulation

Pedestrian Circulation

Auto-Ped Comflicts

UNIVERSITY DR.

- Pedestrian overpass not well used
- 4 lanes required for current traffic and buses
- Could be improved with more streetscaping
 - Consider planted median with turn lane or narrow street and widen street tree plantings

HERE

TRANSPORTATION KEY ISSUES

- Parking: •
 - Future parking policies
 - Resident student parking
 - Off-campus
 - Restrictions •
 - Commuter parking
 - Handicapped parking
- Move to alternative • modes
 - Capitalize on LRT
 - **Unlimited** access pass
 - Remote parking/park-andride
- Bicycle safety & improvements
- Pedestrian/traffic conflicts
- Pedestrian/bicycle • conflicts
- Service vehicles

TRANSPORTATION PRINCIPLES

Improve accessibility to campus by all modes

Enhance on-campus circulation

Develop a pedestrian oriented campus

Minimize future parking needs and impacts

Develop a bicycle friendly campus

CAMPUS IMAGE

Summary of Observations

There are no clear edges or thresholds to the Tempe campus

Many of our buildings are not of the quality of the institution

Lack connections with natural environment

Conflicts with pedestrians, bicycles, and autos

Arterial roads separates campus internally and from community

Lack of clear identity and image

Campus difficult to comprehend

The campus will benefit from respecting neighborhood issues

DRAFT PLANNING PRINCIPLES

Create a vibrant 24/7 living learning environment for education and culture that is interwoven into the spirit of the surrounding area

Create a great research University whose buildings and grounds reflect the stature of a world class institution

Create a campus which is responsive to the unique history, place, climate and sustainability of our region

