Alkanes

Introduction to 3D Structures

• Alkanes are hydrocarbons, i.e. organic molecules that contain only carbon (C) and hydrogen (H) atoms.
• Alkanes are unsaturated (have no double/triple bonds), but may have rings.
• Alkanes are generally unreactive and form the "backbone" skeleton of most organic molecules.

1 Basic Organic Nomenclature

Two kinds:
• Common or trivial names
• IUPAC (International Union of Pure and Applied Chemists!), systematic naming system.

1.1 Basic IUPAC Rules

1) Find the longest (main) chain with the maximum number of substituents.
2) Number to give first substituent lowest number (then next lowest etc.): look for first point of difference, and only IF all other things are equal, number them alphabetically (but only if all else is equal).
3) name substituents as "alkyl".
4) multiple substituents use the di-, tri-, tetra- etc. prefixes.
5) substituents ordered alphabetically in the final name.
• ignore di-, tri-, (unless part of complex substituent!) and also sec- and tert- and other prefixes that are hyphenated when part of a name.
• Do not ignore iso- and cyclo- (because these are not hyphenated when part of a name).
6) Complex substituents are numbered so that the #1 carbon as the one that is attached to the main chain.

Example:

1) longest chain = 9, therefore a substituted nonane
2) start numbering from right, gives lowest numbers for substituents
3) 2 methyl substituents, one ethyl substituent
4) dimethyl and ethyl substituents

3-ethyl-4,7-dimethylnonane
dashes between numbers and names, commas between numbers!!

1.2 Nomenclature Terms You Are REQUIRED to Know

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Substituents</th>
<th>Prefixes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>methane</td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>–CH₃</td>
<td>methyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethane</td>
<td>C₂H₆</td>
<td>–CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>ethyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propane</td>
<td>C₃H₈</td>
<td>–CH₂CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>propyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–CH₃</td>
<td>isopropyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>butane</td>
<td>C₄H₁₀</td>
<td>–CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>butyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–CH₃</td>
<td>sec-butyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–CH₂CH₃</td>
<td>isobutyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–CH₂ · CH₂ · CH₃</td>
<td>sec-Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–CH₂ · CH · CH₃</td>
<td>i-Bu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–CH · CH · CH₃</td>
<td>cyclo-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Some other substituents that you are also required to know………..

- F   fluoro   - Br  bromo
- Cl  chloro  - I   iodo

benzene ring as a substituent

phenyl

(-Ph)

• The halogens are straightforward.
• When the aromatic benzene ring is a substituent it is a phenyl substituent (-Ph).

Example:

chloro before isopropyl alphabetically

4-chloro-5-isopropyl octane

• Here, the substituents get the same numbers numbering from either end, 4 and 5. In this case then the substituents are numbered alphabetically, and because chloro- comes before isopropyl alphabetically then we have 4-chloro and 5-isopropyl.
• We only number substituents alphabetically when all else is equal, which is rare!

Example:

6-(1,2-dimethylpropyl)-3-methyldecane
(di- is not ignored in a complex substituent)

• The longest chain has 10 carbons, therefore it is a decane.
• There is a methyl substituent in the #3-position.
• There is a complex substituent in the #6-position, this substituent is named according to IUPAC rules.
• Complex substituents are always numbered so that the #1 carbon is the one that is attached to the main chain (the numbering isn't to give the substituents the lowest number in this case), number this way even if this does not generate the longest possible chain.
• Note that the "di" in the complex substituent is not ignored alphabetically (di is ignored alphabetically in all other cases!).
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• When there are two possible longest chains, as in this case, choose the one that is most branched, i.e., has the largest number of substituents.

Example

3-ethyl-2,4-dimethylhexane

H₃C–CH₂–CH–CH₂–CH₂–CH–CH₂–CH₂–CH₃

longest chain with maximum # of substituents

H₃C–CH₃

1

2

3

4

5

6

3-ethyl-2,4-dimethylhexane

• look for the first point of difference, in this case the number of the second substituent
• Therefore, number starting at the left-hand end (2,3,8,9,11), even though numbering form the right (2,4,5,10,11) would "add up" to a smaller number

1.3 Cyclic Alkanes

• The rules are the same, find the longest chain, if it is in a ring, then the main chain becomes a cycloalkane.

2,3,8,9,11-pentamethyldodecane NOT 2,4,5,10,11-pentamethyldodecane


CH₁₃ CH₃

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

• If the longest chain is not in the ring, then the ring is the substituent (example on the right, above).
• We need to decide whether the longest chain is not in a ring, or in a ring, we don't look for a longest chain that includes both atoms in a chain and also outside a chain, the numbering wouldn't work well if we tried to do that!

1.4 Stereoisomers of Cyclic Alkanes

• Remember, a wedged bond means pointing "up" and a dashed bond means pointing "down".

• In A, the methyl and ethyl groups both point "up", both are both on the same "side" of the cyclopentane ring (both on "top"), this is a cis-isomer.
• In B, the methyl group points "up" and the ethyl group points "down", they are on opposite sides of the cyclopentane ring (one on "top" the other on the "bottom", this is a trans-isomer
• They differ in the way that the atoms point in space, they are a pair of stereoisomers (diastereomers).
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Alternate Method for Drawing Ring Structures to Illustrate Stereochemistry

• "Looking" from the side indicated by the eyeball clearly indicates which substituents are on "top" of the ring and which are on the "bottom".
• This alternate drawing method of representing stereochemistry on rings uses bonds that point up or down, to represent dashed/wedged bonds, assuming that you are looking at the structure towards the relevant bond.

2 Conformations of Alkanes

• A conformation is the arrangement of the atoms of a structure in space that can move as a result of rotation around a single covalent bond.
• Conformations represents the different shapes that a structure has as a result of rotations around single bonds.

2.1 Acyclic Alkanes

Methane: Tetrahedral by VSEPR (remember: VSEPR minimizes the total energy of the electrons)

- Drawing the tetrahedral geometry in different orientations, but all have TWO plain and one wedged and one dashed bond.

Ethane - Is conformationally "mobile", meaning that rotation around the single C-C bond is energetically facile and rapid. Below are shown two "3D" structures, using wedged/dashed bond formalism (also sometimes known as "sawhorse" structures) that illustrate the molecular geometry.
The eclipsed conformation is higher in energy due to torsional strain.

**Propane versus Ethane**

- H vs. -CH3 results in more repulsion in the eclipsed conformation in propane compared to ethane.
- Propane has slightly more torsional strain energy than ethane.

**Butane**

- Two unfavorable interactions in the fully eclipsed conformation of butane that contribute to torsional strain, 1) an electron repulsion effect, and 2) a steric effect.
- A steric effect is the increase in electron energy that occurs when two sets of electrons attempt to occupy the same region of space.

Visualize the Difference Between Electron Repulsion and the Steric Effect
Although we identify and give special names to some of the conformations at energy minima and maxima, don’t forget that there are actually an infinite number of conformations, and that at room temperature, butane will explore all of them pretty rapidly, although it will spend more time in the conformations at the energy minima.

Alkane Chains

When we draw alkyl chains in the usual "zig-zag" way, we are doing more than just indicating the positions of the carbon atoms, we are drawing the chain in such a way that ALL of the large alkyl groups are ANTI-with respect to each other for all of the C-C bonds in the chain, i.e. we are drawing the lowest energy conformation, the all anti conformation.

Example Problem: Draw a 3-D sawhorse structure AND Newman projection for the lowest energy conformation for internal rotation around the C2-C3 bond in 3-methylpentane, looking from C2 to C3

* The Newman projection "looks" down the relevant bond:

* The 3-D sawhorse structure "looks" at the relevant bond from the side

Either of the first two structures are good, they just have a slightly different perspective, the left hand one is tilted a little to the "right", the one in the middle is tilted a little to the "left", so that the atoms behind can be seen, the structure to the far right does not have reasonable perspective, the wedged/dashed bonds do not make sense.
Example Problem: Draw a Newman projection and 3-D sawhorse structure for the lowest energy conformation for internal rotation around the C3-C4 bond in 2,3,4,4-tetramethylhexane

- **First** convert the IUPAC name into a line-angle structure.....

2.2 Conformational Energy Barriers

The energy increases associated with electron repulsion and steric effects in alkane conformations can be quantified (see table). You should **not** memorize these numbers.

Example Problem: Give the energy required to attain the fully eclipsed and gauche conformations of butane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECLIPSING Interactions</th>
<th>A B</th>
<th>Energy kcal/mol</th>
<th>GAUCHE Interactions</th>
<th>A B</th>
<th>Energy kcal/mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H / H</td>
<td></td>
<td>~1.0</td>
<td>Me / Me</td>
<td></td>
<td>~0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H / Me</td>
<td></td>
<td>~1.4</td>
<td>Et / Me</td>
<td></td>
<td>~0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me / Me</td>
<td></td>
<td>~2.6</td>
<td>i-Pr / Me</td>
<td></td>
<td>~1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-Bu / Me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>~2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- agrees with energy diagrams above
Cyclic Alkanes

Example: Cyclopropane

Cyclopropane has considerable strain energy, due to:
1) eclipsing interactions (see Newman projection)
2) weak bonds (high energy electrons) due to poor overlap of A.O.s

Measuring strain energy using combustion analysis: Alkanes (e.g. propane) burn according to the following:

\[
\text{propane} + 5 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 3 \text{CO}_2 + 4 \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{heat}
\]

The heat energy comes from the energy of the electrons in the C-H and C-C bonds of a hydrocarbon and the oxygen, for example, propane:

- The C=O and O-H bonds in the carbon dioxide and water are stronger than the C-H, C-C and O-O bonds in the propane and oxygen, stronger bonds mean lower energy electrons, the electrons "go" from higher energy to lower energy upon burning, this electron energy is released in the form of heat (and light).
- The amount of heat that is released upon burning a hydrocarbon depends upon the number of C-C and C-H bonds, and so the generic formula for burning straight chain hydrocarbons is:

\[
\text{H}_3\text{C} - \text{CH}_2 + (3.5+1.5n) \text{O}_2 \rightarrow (2+n) \text{CO}_2 + (3+n) \text{O}_2 + \text{heat}
\]

i.e., 157 kcal/mol released for EACH -CH$_2$-

Thus 3 x 157 = 471 kcal/mol would be expected to be released for burning cyclopropane on this basis.

MORE heat released than expected, cyclopropane starts HIGHER in ENERGY: RING STRAIN

- More energy is released than expected due to the strain energy in the cyclopropane.
- The more strain energy molecule "has", the more heat is released upon burning:

\[
\text{ring strain} \sim 501 - 471 \sim 30 \text{ kcal/mol, thus:}
\]

Cyclopropane has ~ 30 kcal/mol more chemical potential energy than propane
How do we explain the trends in strain energy in the table? Quite simply, the rings are not flat!

**Cyclobutane**

- The ring "puckers" (twists) to decrease eclipsing, however, there are still 4 eclipsing interactions.
- The overall ring strain for cyclobutane is similar to that for cyclopropane.

**Cyclopentane** - Adopts "envelope" structure:

- The 5 eclipsing interactions are now small as a result of twisting into an "envelope" conformation, which is almost a staggered conformation (but not quite!).
- The envelope "migrates" around the ring, i.e. the carbon that "points up" rapidly changes position around the ring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>angle (assume flat)</th>
<th>cycloalkane</th>
<th># of eclipsing interactions</th>
<th>strain energy kcal/mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108 (almost 109!)</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 !!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2.4 Cyclohexanes*

- Cyclohexane has zero ring strain (minimal total electron energy!)
- The lowest energy conformation is the "chair".

- As the chair is drawn, 2 carbons are **behind** the plane of the paper, 2 are **in** the plane of the paper, and 2 are **in front** of the plane of the paper.
• The chair is **completely staggered** (it has zero eclipsing interactions).

• The chair has all bond angles ~109°, AND, it is completely staggered, which accounts for the zero-ring strain, in turn this accounts for the very high frequency cyclohexanes and related 6-membered rings are encountered in nature.

• Another conformation is the boat:

• The boat does have all bonds angles ~109°, but, it has almost all eclipsing interactions, and so it is a **high-energy** conformation.

**Energetics of Interconversion of Chair Conformations**

• Barrier to interconverting one chair to another ~10.8 kcal/mol.
• Takes ca. 65 microseconds at room temperature.
• Even though this is still fast, it is a LOT slower than rotation around a single C-C bond in, for example, CH₃–CH₃.
Interconversion between chairs converts ALL axial H's to equitorial and vice versa.

Drawing Chair Conformations

- A properly drawn chair consists of three parallel lines, don't draw a chair as shown below.
- The AXIAL BONDS should all be straight UP on carbons that "point up", and straight DOWN on "down" carbons.
- The equitorials on the "left" point left, on the "right" point right.
- The equatorial bonds go "slightly down" on carbons where the axials are "up", and "slightly up" on carbons where the axials are "down".
2.5 Monosubstituted Cyclohexanes

- It costs energy to have a substituent in the axial position compared to the equatorial position.

**Example:** Ethylcyclohexane

- Two gauche interactions in the axial conformation, zero gauche interactions in the equatorial conformation, thus the axial is higher in energy.

**Take Another look at this…**
- Two 1,3-diaxial interactions: which is another way of saying two gauche interactions.

**Gauche** interactions in cyclohexanes are also referred to as "1,3-diaxial interactions", since the arise as a result of electron repulsion between the electrons in the bonds of the axial substituent (ethyl here) and those in the axial C-H bonds on the "3rd carbons" from the substituent.
- The energy is roughly two Ethyl/Methyl gauche interactions.
- We approximate the #3 cyclohexane ring carbons as methyl groups.
- The energy of a substituent in the axial position is roughly 2 x a Substituent/-Me gauche interaction.

Approximate the axial interaction to an R/Me gauche interaction, then can calculate the energy "cost"
### Axial/Equatorial Equilibria for Monosubstituted Cyclohexanes

Axial ↔ Equatorial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>R/Me gauche</th>
<th>ΔE = 2 R/Me gauche</th>
<th>$K_{eq}$</th>
<th>% Equitorial</th>
<th>% Axial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−Me</td>
<td>~0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>~95%</td>
<td>~5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−Et</td>
<td>~0.95</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>~96%</td>
<td>~4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−i-Pr</td>
<td>~1.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>~97.5%</td>
<td>~2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−t-Bu</td>
<td>~2.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>8100</td>
<td>~99.99%</td>
<td>~0.01%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* t-Butylcyclohexane essentially "locked" into the equitorial conformation.

### 2.6 Disubstituted and Polysubstituted Cyclohexanes

cis- and trans-isomers

* With respect to the substituent X, which is "up", axial and equatorial substituents that are also "up" and cis-, axials and equitorsials that are "down" are trans-

* Cis- and trans-isomers are not interconvertible, however, each has two chair conformations.

INCORRECT switched substituents, did NOT move the ring carbon atoms

correct other chair

correct other chair

INCORRECT switched substituents, did NOT move the ring carbon atoms
• When drawing other chair, it is very important to both make all axials equatorial and vice versa, and to interchange the positions of the carbon atoms. If you ONLY interchange the axials and equitorials without also changing the carbons, you will usually make a stereoisomer, i.e. a different structure.

Example Problem: Identify lowest energy conformation of cis-1-chloro-4-t-butylcyclohexane

![Structure of cis-1-chloro-4-t-butylcyclohexane](image1)

• Note that the assignment of the numbers to the carbons in the ring in the line-angle structure is arbitrary, #1 doesn't have to be at the top, but it is often convenient to put #1 at either the "top" or "bottom" positions.

Example Problem: Identify the lowest energy conformation of the trans-1-isopropyl-3-methylcyclohexane structure provided....

![Structure of trans-1-isopropyl-3-methylcyclohexane](image2)

Important, do not make the mistake of drawing the wrong enantiomer:

![Image of enantiomer](image3)

• Changing the carbons that the substituents are attached to, especially "front" to "back" will often generate make a stereoisomer, i.e. a different structure.
Visualize Chair-to-Chair Interconversions and Avoiding Common Misconceptions

**Example Problem:** Determine the energy difference between the lowest and highest energy conformations of the following structure (quantitative, not just qualitative).

![Structure](image)

1. We will need the table of gauche interactions that we used earlier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECLIPSING Interactions</th>
<th>Energy kcal/mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H / H</td>
<td>~1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H / Me</td>
<td>~1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me / Me</td>
<td>~2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GAUCHE Interactions</th>
<th>Energy kcal/mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Me / Me</td>
<td>~0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et / Me</td>
<td>~0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-Pr / Me</td>
<td>~1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-Bu / Me</td>
<td>~2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Remember, the energy associated with 1 axial interaction is equal to 2 gauche interactions.

![Diagram](image)

- 1 axial Me energy = 2 × 0.9 = 1.8
- 2nd axial Me energy = 2 × 0.9 = 1.8
- total = 3.6 kcal/mol
- 1 Me/Me gauche = 0.9 kcal/mol
- 1 axial Me energy = 2 × 0.9 = 1.8
- total = 2.7 kcal/mol
- energy difference = 0.9 kcal/mol

3. Here we see another form of "hidden" gauche interaction between the two -Me substituents when they are adjacent and both equatorial (also fairly easy to see in a molecular model).

![Diagram](image)

2 Me groups

- 1 Me/Me gauche = 0.9 kcal/mol
- 1 axial Me energy = 2 × 0.9 = 1.8
- 2 Me groups
- energy difference = 0.9 kcal/mol