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Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to determine whether, in young children, a cortical neurophysiologic representation of the voicing status of a stop consonant could be found in the absence of the N1 component in the cortical auditory evoked potential (CAEP). In adults, a 'double-on' N1 response is often considered a cortical marker of VOT representation.

Methods: Scalp-recorded CAEPs were measured from six electrode sites in 10 children aged 4–8 years in response to a /da/-/ta/ continuum in which voice onset times (VOTs) varied from 0 to 60 ms. CAEPs were also recorded from Cz in a group of eight children aged 2–3 years in response to stimuli with VOTs of 0 and 60 ms.

Results: Cortical evoked responses elicited by stimuli with VOT values ranging from 0 to 60 ms (i.e., by stimuli perceived as /da/ and /ta/) were similar in morphology. There was no evidence of a 'double-on' morphology for stimuli with long VOTs. However, latency changes in the P1 and N2 components were observed as a function of VOT changes.

Conclusions: Our results demonstrate that a representation of VOT, as recorded by scalp electrodes, exists in the developing cortical evoked response, but that representation is different than that in the adult response. The results describe the developmental changes in cortical representation of VOT in children ages 2–8 years.

Significance: The child's CAEP reflects physiologic processes, which are involved in the cortical encoding of VOT. Overall, cortical representation of VOT in children ages 2–8 is different than in adults.

1. Introduction

In this study we examined the neurophysiologic representation of voice onset time in the cortical auditory evoked response (CAEP) of young children. Voice onset time (VOT) is an acoustic cue that is critical for speech perception. VOT is defined as the interval between the release of a stop consonant (the burst) and the beginning of vocal fold vibration or voicing onset (Lisker and Abramson, 1964). Several languages contain relevant temporal cues for VOT perception. In American English, voiced, syllable-initial stop consonants (such as /ba/, /da/, /ga/) have a short time interval (generally 0–20 ms) between the release of the consonant and the onset of voicing. In contrast, voiceless stop consonants (such as /pa/, /ta/, and /ka/) have a longer interval (generally 30–80 ms) between consonant release and voicing onset.

Listeners respond to stimuli that vary in VOT in a manner termed ‘categorical’. In the paradigm experiment, Abramson and Lisker (1970) created a set of synthetic speech sounds that varied in VOT from –150 ms to +150 ms in physically equal steps. When the stimuli were presented to native speakers of American English for identification, stimuli with VOTs of less than 25 ms were identified as /da/ and stimuli with VOTs greater than 25 ms were identified as /ta/. The identification function showed a sharp discontinuity between about 20 and 30 ms of VOT – the phoneme boundary between the /da/ and /ta/ categories. Listeners were good at discriminating stimuli drawn from opposite sides of the phoneme boundary but were poor at discriminating stimuli drawn from within the same voicing category. The good discrimination of stimuli drawn from different phonetic categories and the poor discrimination of stimuli drawn from the same phonetic category led to the term ‘categorical perception’ (see Liberman et al., 1957).

evoked potentials intracranially from Heschel’s Gyrus in humans (1999), and from the primary auditory cortex in monkeys (2005). Stein Schneider and colleagues reported that the cortical response to stimuli with long VOTs, which were identified by human listeners as voiceless stops, was characterized by an initial response peak followed by a second peak (‘double-on’ response) which was time-locked to the onset of voicing. For stimuli with a short VOT, which were identified as voiced stops, the cortical response was characterized by a single peak. These outcomes are consistent with other intracranial studies in humans (Lieugeois-Chauvel et al., 1999), and document that the stimulus features critical for identifying syllable-initial voiced and voiceless stop consonants are represented by synchronized responses in cortical neural populations.

Given the outcome that intracortical recordings reflect differences in VOT, there has been great interest in determining if a similar cortical marker for VOT appears in scalp recordings from humans. Such a biological marker could have clinical significance as it would allow for a non-invasive and non-behavioral examination of one aspect of speech perception in at-risk infants and young children.

In adults, cortical auditory evoked potential (CAEP) recordings from scalp electrodes have demonstrated differences in morphology as a function of the VOT of a stimulus. Sharma and Dorman (1999, 2000) found a single negative peak (‘NY’) was present for short VOT values of 0–30 ms. For longer VOT values (i.e., 50–80 ms), a double negative peak response (N1 and N2) emerged. This outcome is consistent with results from Giraud et al. (2005) and Liegeois-Chauvel et al. (1999), who used pre-voiced stimuli, and from animal studies (Eggermont, 1995, 2003, 2005).

The relationship of the single vs. double-peak response of the N1 in adults to behavioral perception of signals as voiced or voiceless is not clearly understood. Some studies (e.g., Sharma et al., 2000) suggest that the single vs. double-peak response is independent of how a stimulus is identified. Other studies infer a causal link between single vs. double peaks and the identification of stimuli as voiced vs. voiceless (e.g., Simos et al., 1998).

In adults, the N1 component is a dominant feature of the obligatory cortical auditory evoked response. The development of the N1 is age and stimulus rate dependent (Cepioniene et al., 1998; Gilley et al., 2005; Sussman et al., 2008) and typically emerges in an inconsistent fashion from 3 to 8 years of age. The presence of the N1 typically becomes more reliable around 9–11 years (Sharma et al., 1997; Gilley et al., 2005). In young children the dominant features of the cortical response are the P1, which varies in latency as a function of age and the N200 or N2 response (sometimes also called the N250), a negativity following the P1 at about 200 or 250 ms (Gilley et al., 2005; Sussman et al., 2008).

In the absence of a reliable N1 in children under age 9 years, it is possible that another component of the CAEP complex, such as the P1 or N2, functions as a cortical marker of VOT in young children. Another possibility is that the developing nervous system may tolerate a nose electrode. A ground electrode was placed at FPz, a reference electrode was placed on the mastoid ipsilateral to the speaker for the younger children who would not tolerate a nose electrode. A ground electrode was placed at Fpz. Eye blinks were monitored via electrodes placed at right lateral

2.2. Stimuli

The stimuli were created with the Klatt (1980) speech synthesizer and consisted of VOT values of 0, 20, 40, and 60 ms. The tokens were identical to those used by Sinex et al. (1991) in animal studies and Sharma and Dorman (1999) and Sharma et al. (2000) in human studies. For each syllable, a brief 10 ms burst of friction noise was present at the onset. From syllable onset to the first formant onset (F1), the higher formants (F2–F5) were excited by using aspiration noise. This interval corresponded to the VOT which was varied from 0 to 60 ms in 20 ms steps. The center frequency of F1 was 155 Hz for the first 10 ms of the syllable, increased to 270 Hz at 15 ms, and then increased linearly to the steady state value of 770 Hz at 65 ms. F2 and F3 decreased from 1550 to 1200 Hz and 3700 to 2200 Hz over a transition duration of 65 ms. Each syllable had a fundamental frequency of 114 Hz and overall duration of 200 ms.

2.3. Procedure

2.3.1. Identification experiment

A forced-choice identification experiment was performed before evoked potential testing with the children in the 4–8 year age group. Children in the younger age group were not able to perform the task accurately. Each subject was asked to listen to stimuli with VOT values ranging from 0 to 60 ms and decide whether the syllable was a /da/ or a /ta/ sound. In order to achieve a balanced design, five subjects were asked to indicate their response by raising one finger if the syllable was classified as /da/ and two fingers if the syllable was /ta/. Similarly, the remaining five subjects were asked to raise two fingers if the syllable was classified as /da/ and one finger if the syllable was classified as /ta/. Ten repetitions of each stimulus in the continuum were presented to the subject in random order. Stimuli were presented via a loudspeaker at 75 dB SPL (sound pressure level) at a distance of 1 m. The loudspeaker was placed at 45 deg azimuth to the right of five of the subjects and at 45 deg azimuth to the left of five of the subjects.

2.3.2. Electrophysiological experiment

CAEPs were recorded while participants were seated comfortably in a reclining chair in a sound-treated booth. To control for arousal state during testing, participants watched a movie on a screen located at 0 deg azimuth. Background audio levels for the movie were turned off during testing. A NeuroScan STIM and SCAN (version 4.3) evoked potential system was used for stimulus generation and CAEP recording. Silver/silver-chloride electrodes were placed at Cz, Fz, C3, C4, T3, and T4 for the 10 older children (4–8 years). A ground electrode was placed at Fpz, and a reference electrode was placed on the nose for the 10 older children (4–8 years). For the younger children (2–3 years), only an active Cz electrode was tolerated. A reference electrode was placed on the mastoid ipsilateral to the speaker for the younger children who would not tolerate a nose electrode. A ground electrode was placed at Fpz.
canthus and right supra-orbital sites. Electrode impedances were maintained below 5 kΩ. A recording window of 600 ms was used, which included a 100 ms pre-stimulus period. The onset-to-onset inter-stimulus interval was 800 ms.

Stimuli were presented via a loudspeaker at 75 dB SPL at a distance of 1 m from the subjects and at a 45 deg azimuth. For the older children, evoked responses were recorded in response to VOT stimuli at 0, 20, 40, and 60 ms. For the younger children, evoked responses were recorded to stimuli with 0 and 60 ms VOT values only. These values corresponded to the end points of the /da/–/ta/ continuum. The limited attention span of the younger children prompted the reduction in the number of stimuli presented.

2.4. Data analysis

In order to compute the CAEP response components, the EEG signals were filtered online (0.1–100 Hz, 24 dB/octave slope). The EEG signals were band-pass filtered offline at 4–30 Hz to allow an N1 response to emerge, if present (Ceponiene et al., 2002; Gilley et al., 2005; Sharma et al., 1997). Artifact rejection was used to exclude responses exceeding ±100 μV and eye blinks. Baseline correction was applied for each response. Individual subjects had two averaged CAEP waveforms, resulting in a grand average waveform of 300–400 sweeps for each stimulus presentation.

P1 amplitude and latency was measured for all subjects. The latency was measured at the most positive point of the peak, or halfway on the peak if it was broad. This positive component usually occurred between 75 and 150 ms after presentation of the stimulus. The absolute amplitude was measured at the peak of the P1 response in relation to the average baseline. The N2 was measured as the immediate negative component occurring after the P1, usually present around 250 ms after stimulus presentation. Absolute amplitude and latency were chosen at the most negative point or halfway point of a broad peak. The group average waveforms were filtered at 0.1–30 Hz with a 12 dB/octave slope.

3. Results

3.1. Older children (4- to 8-year-old age group)

3.1.1. Identification functions

Fig. 1 shows the mean identification scores for the children in the older age group (n = 10). Stimuli with VOTs of 0 and 20 ms were identified as /da/. Stimuli with longer VOTs, 40 and 60 ms, were identified as /ta/. The boundary between the /da/ and /ta/ categories, i.e., the point of 50% identification, occurred at a VOT of 32.5 ms. These results in children are generally consistent with results for adults subjects whose category boundary occurred at a VOT of 50 ms for the /da/–/ta/ continuum (Sharma and Dorman, 1999, 2000).

3.1.2. CAEP morphology

Grand average CAEP responses across the /da/–/ta/ continuum for the 4- to 8-year-old age group are shown in Fig. 2. Visual inspection revealed a robust P1 component at about 100 ms and a N2 component at about 250 ms. As expected, in this age group there was no evidence of an N1 component in the majority of subjects and in the grand average, although a small emerging N1-like component was present in a few children. Overall, the CAEP responses elicited by stimuli with VOT values of 0, 20, 40, and 60 ms were similar in morphology. There was no evidence of a ‘double-on’ or ‘double-peak’ morphology for stimuli with long VOTs. However, latency changes in both the P1 and N2 components were observed as a function of VOT. These changes are described in the sections that follow.

3.1.3. P1 latency and amplitude

A two-way repeated measures ANOVA was performed to determine the effect of VOT and electrode site on P1 latency. There was a significant main effect of VOT (F(3,216) = 9.19, p < 0.01) on P1 latency but not of electrode site (F(5,216) = 0.27, p > 0.05), and there was no interaction between the two variables (F(15,216) = 0.32, p > 0.05). Mean latencies for all electrodes and VOT stimuli are shown in Fig. 3. Mean latencies and amplitudes for all subjects in all conditions are shown in Table 1. A post hoc pair-wise comparison of means (using the Bonferroni correction) revealed that P1 latencies at 0 and 20 ms differed significantly from latencies at 40 and 60 ms across all electrode sites.

P1 absolute amplitude was analyzed using a two-way repeated measures ANOVA, which showed a significant effect of electrode site upon amplitude values (F(5,216) = 3.32, p < 0.01), but not of VOT (F(3,216) = 0.69, p > 0.05). There was no interaction between VOT and electrode site shown (F(15,216) = 0.08, p > 0.05). Post-hoc comparison analyses using the Bonferroni correction confirmed that the electrode T3 differed from C3 and Cz across all VOTs. There were no differences in amplitudes as a function of VOT at any electrode sites.

3.1.4. N2 latency and amplitude

A two-way repeated measures ANOVA was performed to determine the effect of VOT and electrode site on N2 latency. The analysis revealed a significant main effect of both VOT (F(3,216) = 2.93, p < 0.05) and electrode site (F(5,216) = 7.23, p < 0.01) on N2 latency. There was no interaction between the two variables (F(15,216) = 0.21, p > 0.05). Changes in N2 latencies as a function of VOT and electrode sites are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 1. Post hoc comparison of means (using the Bonferroni correction) revealed that N2 latencies at 0 and 40 ms VOT differed significantly across all electrodes. Post-hoc analyses also showed that, across all VOTs, responses recorded from the temporal (T3) electrode had shorter latencies compared to the frontal (Fz) and central (C3, C4, and Cz) electrode locations. N2 latencies at the temporal (T4) electrode were also significantly shorter than at Cz.

N2 absolute amplitude was analyzed using a two-way repeated measures ANOVA. A significant effect of both electrode site (F(5,216) = 3.98, p < 0.01) and VOT (F(3,216) = 7.60, p < 0.01) was found. There was no interaction found between VOT and electrode site (F(15,216) = 0.54, p > 0.05). Post-hoc comparisons of the means using the Bonferroni correction revealed the electrode site T4 to differ from both Cz and C3 across VOTs. Comparisons of the means also showed that a VOT value of 60 ms elicited a smaller
amplitude response compared to 0, 20, and 40 ms across all electrodes. Though not significant, a slight decrease in N2 amplitude was found as VOT increased (see Table 1).

3.2. Younger children (2- to 3-year-old age group)

3.2.1. CAEP morphology

For children in the 2–3 year age group, we were able to measure responses from only the Cz electrode. Grand average CAEP responses to end-points of the /da~/–/ta/ continuum for the 2- to 3-year-old age group are shown in Fig. 5. As was the case for the older children, the morphology of the CAEP response elicited by stimuli with VOT values of 0 and 60 ms was similar. And, as was the case for older children, there was an increase in P1 latency for stimuli with longer VOTs. There was no evidence of a double-on response to stimuli with long VOTs. There was no evidence of a double-on response to stimuli with long VOTs.

3.2.2. P1 latency and amplitude

A one-way repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significant effect of VOT on P1 latency (F(1,14) = 6.30, p < 0.05). Mean P1 latency values for the 0 and 60 ms VOT conditions were 133 and 156 ms, respectively (Table 1). Both values were longer than the respective values for subjects in the older age group. These differences were expected given the known relationship between P1 latency and age (Ceponiene et al., 1998; Gilley et al., 2005; Sharma et al., 1997; Sussman et al., 2008).

For children in the 2–3 years age group, a one-way repeated measures ANOVA revealed no significant effect of VOT on absolute amplitude values (F(1,14) = 0.07, p > 0.05).

3.2.3. N2 latency and amplitude

In contrast to children in the older age group, N2 responses in children in the 2- to 3-year-old age group did not show a main effect of VOT on either N2 latency (F(1,14) = 3.72, p > 0.05) or absolute amplitude (F(1,14) = 1.87, p > 0.05). Though not significant, a decrease in N2 amplitude was found as VOT increased.

4. Discussion

We examined the representation of VOT in the CAEP waveform of young children in whom the N1 response was not yet developed. Our results demonstrate that a representation of VOT exists in the developing CAEP response, but that representation is different than...
that in the adult response. For adults, stimuli with a short VOT elicit a single peak (N1₀) and stimuli with a long VOT elicit a double peak (N1₀ and N1). In young children the CAEP waveform is dominated by the P1 and N2 responses and the latencies of both vary with VOT. Specifically, stimuli with short VOTs, i.e., 0 and 20 ms, elicit shorter peak latency CAEPs than stimuli with long VOTs, i.e., 40 and 60 ms (Simos and Molfese, 1997).

The children in our study showed adult-like labeling of the VOT continuum, yet lacked the single vs. double-on response morphology characteristic of adult responses to voiced and voiceless stimuli. This result demonstrates that the link between single and double-on responses recorded from the scalp in humans and the perception of signals as voiced or voiceless is not causal. We have made a similar observation previously (Sharma et al., 2000). However, caution must be exercised when comparing our scalp-recorded results in humans to those from animal studies of intracortical recordings. The scalp-recorded CAEP is a composite wave that reflects activation of multiple auditory cortical fields, each with its own capacity to follow temporal features of complex sounds (Steinschneider et al., 1999; Fishman et al., 2000, 2001). It is therefore difficult to compare results from scalp-recorded responses to the temporal response patterns recorded intracranially from the primary auditory cortex. That is, unlike scalp-recorded responses, intracranially recorded morphological patterns (described in the studies by Steinschneider and colleagues) may well provide biological markers for VOT representation.

The present results indicate that the scalp-recorded CAEP response of the developing CNS is not able to represent the burst and onset of voicing as two separate onset responses. One possible explanation for this is the slower refractoriness of the neuronal population of the generators underlying the immature CAEP response. Neuronal refractoriness is the time needed for a neural response to change from its resting state to a state of excitation.

Table 1
Mean latency and amplitude values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOT</th>
<th>Cz</th>
<th>Fz</th>
<th>C₁</th>
<th>C₄</th>
<th>T₁</th>
<th>T₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>126(15)</td>
<td>130(16)</td>
<td>129(19)</td>
<td>128(14)</td>
<td>130(30)</td>
<td>130(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>130(18)</td>
<td>131(19)</td>
<td>133(17)</td>
<td>134(18)</td>
<td>133(20)</td>
<td>141(28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>144(14)</td>
<td>141(12)</td>
<td>141(14)</td>
<td>145(21)</td>
<td>145(21)</td>
<td>146(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>149(13)</td>
<td>143(10)</td>
<td>144(11)</td>
<td>147(13)</td>
<td>136(40)</td>
<td>144(16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOT</th>
<th>P1 latency (ms)</th>
<th>P1 absolute amplitude (µV)</th>
<th>N2 latency (ms)</th>
<th>N2 absolute amplitude (µV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>238(31)</td>
<td>239(19)</td>
<td>247(46)</td>
<td>238(32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>240(32)</td>
<td>247(11)</td>
<td>247(14)</td>
<td>239(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>259(22)</td>
<td>254(13)</td>
<td>251(12)</td>
<td>254(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>251(25)</td>
<td>246(18)</td>
<td>254(15)</td>
<td>252(22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOT</th>
<th>P1 latency (ms)</th>
<th>P1 absolute amplitude (µV)</th>
<th>N2 latency (ms)</th>
<th>N2 absolute amplitude (µV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>133(18)</td>
<td>7.5(2.6)</td>
<td>242(17)</td>
<td>0.97(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>156(18)</td>
<td>7.8(2.0)</td>
<td>255(8)</td>
<td>0.57(3.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard deviation values are included in parentheses beside the mean values.

Fig. 4. Mean N2 latencies for the 4–8 year age group as a function of electrode site and VOT.
population to recover after generating a response to a stimulus before responding to a second stimulus. In the immature central auditory system (CAS) incomplete myelination and synaptogenesis result in longer neuronal refractory periods for CAEP components (Ceponiene et al., 1998; Gilley et al., 2005). Long neuronal refractory periods may explain the absence of a second ‘on’ response to the onset of voicing in our stimuli. On this view the CAEP response in children represents a merged response containing the representation of both the onset of the syllable (burst) and the onset of voicing as a single broad peak. It would be of interest to examine whether a double peaked CAEP response occurs in young children when the voicing lag is increased to a very long value, e.g., greater than 60 ms, which may allow neurons to recover sufficiently after responding to the syllable onset.

Our results are consistent with studies which describe different developmental trajectories for the different components of the CAEP response (Gilley et al., 2005; Ponton et al., 2000; Sharma et al., 1997; Sussman et al., 2008). In the present study, the older children showed changes in both P1 and N2 latency as a function of VOT changes, while the younger children showed only an increase in P1 latency for a comparable change in VOT. That is, if VOT representation is considered an aspect of functional development of the CAEP response, then our data suggest that 2- to 3-year-old children show a more immature representation of VOT in the CAEP compared to 4- to 8-year-old children. It should be noted that only one active site at Cz was used to record the CAEPs for the younger children. Additional electrode sites may have yielded additional information regarding possible topographic differences in VOT encoding for older and younger children. In the present study, while the amplitude of the P1 and N2 components for the older children recorded at the temporal electrodes was smaller than that recorded at the frontal and central sites, we did not see clear evidence of the T-complex which has been reported in children at temporal sites (Ponton et al., 2000; Tonquist-Uhlen et al., 2003). A possible explanation for this discrepancy may lie in methodological differences. Ponton et al. (2000) and Tonquist-Uhlen et al. (2003) stimulated subjects using a click train (18.9 ms in duration), presented monaurally at a rate of 1.3 per second, and with an interstimulus interval of 2 ms. Our stimulus consisted of speech syllables (200 ms in duration) presented in freefield at a rate of 1.25 per second, and with an interstimulus interval of 800 ms. Further research is needed to examine the effects of stimulation differences on elicitation of the T-complex in children.

In summary, our results show that the double-on morphology of CAEPs recorded from adults in response to stimuli with long VOTs does not appear in young children, and that short vs. long VOTs are represented in the CAEP of young children by changes in P1 and N2 latency.
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